

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,536	06/30/2004	Stephen Brocchini	POLYT 9200 WO-US	6473
39843 75	590 08/02/2006		EXAMINER	
BELL & ASSOCIATES			CHEUNG, WILLIAM K	
416 FUNSTON ST., SUITE 100 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94118			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		h				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/500,536	BROCCHINI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William K. Cheung	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re i. riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 9 December 2004.</u>					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 38-73 is/are pending in the	application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 38-73</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to I	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	,					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	pplication No				
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the p	· •	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 100404.	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/500,536

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 38-73 are pending.

Claim Objections

0

- 2. Claim 73 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 73 is setting dependency onto a cancelled claim. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claims 1, 38-73 are objected for using inconsistent notations for C_x - C_y and C_x - C_y , where x, y denote numbers. If x, y are started out as subscript, they should remain as subscript.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1713

5. Claims 49-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 49 (line 2), the recitation "interrupted" is considered indefinite. What does it mean?

Claim 51 (line 1), the recitation "-COR^a" is considered indefinite. Is "CO" a carbonyl or an ether group? Further, the recitation lack antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 52 recites the limitation "structure (II)" and its associating structural notation. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 59 (line 25), the recitation "where R15 is –O-Y, R¹⁵ is" is considered indefinite. What does it mean? Claim 59 also fails to include a period at the end of the claim.

Claim 69 (line 28), the recitation "where R27 is -O-Y1, R²⁷ is ..." is considered indefinite. What does it mean?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1713

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 38-58, 72, 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brandt et al. (US 6,235,813).

The invention of claims 1, 38-58, 72, 73 relate to a **block copolymer** comprising the unit (1)

$$\frac{\left\{\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}-0\right)_{n}\right\} - \left[\left(\begin{pmatrix} H & \mathbb{R}^{1} \\ C & C \end{pmatrix}\right)_{m}\right]}{\left(\begin{pmatrix} H & \mathbb{R}^{1} \\ C & C \end{pmatrix}\right)_{m}} \qquad (0)$$

wherein:

R is selected from the group consisting of hydrogen, C_1 - C_{18} alkyl, C_2 - C_{18} alkenyl, C_7 - C_{18} aralkyl, C_7 - C_{18} alkaryl, C_6 - C_{18} aryl, carboxylic acid, C_2 - C_{18} alkoxycarbonyl, C_2 - C_{18} alkaminocarbonyl, or any one of C_1 - C_{18} alkyl, C_2 - C_{18} alkenyl, C_7 - C_{18} aralkyl, C_7 - C_{18} aralkyl, C_7 - C_{18} aralkyl, C_7 - C_{18} alkoxycarbonyl and C_7 - C_8 alkaminocarbonyl substituted with a heteroatom within, or attached to, the carbon backbone;

 R^1 is selected from the group consisting of hydrogen and C_1 - C_7 alkyl groups; R^2 is linking group;

X is an electron withdrawing group;

 R^3 is selected from the group consisting of C_{1-} C_{18} alkylene, C_{2-} C_{18} alkenylene, C_{7-} C_{18} aralkylene, C_{7-} C_{18} alkarylene and C_{6-} C_{18} arylene;

L is a divalent linker joining the blocks; and m and n are each an integer of greater than 1.

Art Unit: 1713

Brandt et al. (abstract; col. 2, line 40 to col. 3, line 5) disclose clearly disclose the block copolymers comprising an acrylate block and an alkyleneoxide block as claimed. Brandt et al. (col. 2, line 62-64) clearly teach that R² are "identical or different" which indicate that the acrylate block units comprises a mixture of the pendent R² groups as disclosed in Brandt et al. (col. 2, line 62-64). Brandt et al. clearly disclose electronic withdrawing groups, dialkylamino and perfluoroalkyl radicals. The ester linkage as disclosed in Brandt et al. (col. 2, line 45-57) can be considered as a linker group L as claimed. Claims 1, 38-58, 72, 73 are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 59-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandt et al. (US 6,235,813).

Art Unit: 1713

The invention of claims 59-68, 70, 71 relates to a process for the production of a block copolymer, comprising the polymerization of ethylenically unsaturated monomers including a compound (III)

wherein R is selected from the group consisting of hydrogen, C_1 - C_{18} alkyl, C_2 - C_{18} alkenyl, C_7 - C_{18} aralkyl, C_7 - C_{18} alkaryl, C_6 - C_{18} aryl, carboxylic acid, C_2 - C_{18} alkoxycarbonyl, C_2 - C_{18} alkaminocarbonyl, or any one of C_1 - C_{18} alkyl, C_2 - C_{18} alkenyl, C_7 - C_{18} aralkyl, C_7 - C_{18} alkaryl, C_6 - C_{18} aryl, C_2 - C_{18} alkoxycarbonyl, and C_2 - C_{18} alkaminocarbonyl substituted with a heteroatom within, or attached to, the carbon backbone;

 R^1 is selected from the group consisting of hydrogen and C_1 - C_6 alkyl groups; R^2 is a linking group;

X is an electron withdrawing group;

in the presence of an initiator compound (IV)

$$R^{15}(R^3O)_{ii}$$
 (IV)

Art Unit: 1713

wherein n is an integer of 1 or more and Y is a radical initiating group;

 R^3 is selected from the group consisting of C_1 - C_{18} alkylene, C_2 - C_{18} alkenylene, C_7 - C_{18} aralkylene, C_7 - C_{18} alkarylene and C_6 - C_{18} arylene;

 R^{15} comprises a group selected from the group consisting of hydrogen, C_1 - C_{18} alkyl, C_2 - C_{18} alkenyl, C_7 - C_{18} aralkyl, C_7 - C_{18} qlkaryl and C_6 - C_{18} aryl, C_1 - C_{18} alkoxy, C_2 - C_{18} alkeneyloxy, C_7 - C_{18} aralkoxy, C_7 - C_{18} alkaryloxy, C_6 - C_{18} aryloxy and -O- to produce a block copolymer comprising the unit (V)

$$R^{15} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} R^3 - O \end{pmatrix}_n \right\} = L^2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} H & R^1 \\ C & C \\ R & R^2 \end{pmatrix}_m \right\} \qquad (V)$$

wherein m and n are as defined above and L^2 is a divalent linking group derived from Y and R^{15} is R^{15} or where R^{15} is -0-Y, R^{15} is

$$-L^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H & R^{1} \\ - & C \end{pmatrix} \\ R & R^{2} & m \end{bmatrix}$$

Application/Control Number: 10/500,536

Art Unit: 1713

The invention of claims 69 relates to the process for the production of a block copolymer, comprising the steps of polymerizing ethylenically unsaturated monomers comprising a compound (VIII).

Brandt et al. (col. 6, line 59 to col. 12, line 59) disclose a sequence of examples showing how the disclosed block copolymers can be made. Further, Brandt et al. (col. 10, line 3-12) disclose how the pendant groups can be grafted onto the block copolymers.

The difference between Brandt et al. and claims 59-71 is that Brandt et al. do not contain a working example showing the reactions for obtaining the dialkylamino pendant functional groups.

However, Brandt et al. (col. 2, line 62-64) clearly teach that R² are "identical or different" which indicate that the acrylate block units comprises a mixture of the pendent R² groups as disclosed in Brandt et al. (col. 2, line 62-64). Brandt et al. clearly disclose electronic withdrawing groups, dialkylamino and perfluoroalkyl radicals. Therefore,

Art Unit: 1713

motivated by the expectation of success of obtaining the block copolymers of Brandt et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to perform the example 9D with an appropriate reagent to achieve the pendant functionalities as disclosed in Brandt et al. (col. 2, line 62-64) to obtain the invention of claims 59-71. In view of the 112 rejection set forth, the reasons for the instant rejection is considered adequate.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K. Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William K. Cheung, Ph. D.

Primary Examiner

July 31, 2006

WILLIAM K. CHEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER